

**MARENGO CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES**

Marengo Council Chambers
132 E Prairie St
Marengo, IL 60152
July 13, 2009

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Donald B. Lockhart called the July 13, 2009 meeting to order at 7:00 PM.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mayor Lockhart led everyone present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL

Present for this evenings meeting were: Mayor Donald B. Lockhart, Aldermen: Carole Bartman, Corey Brackmann, Michael Smith, Erik DeBoer, Pat Signore, Todd Hall, Michael Secor and Steve DiMaria. Also present were City Attorney Carlos Arevalo, City Administrator Deborah Nier, Deputy Chief of Police Joseph Hallman, Building Commissioner Jayson Shull, Assistant to the City Administrator Joshua Blakemore and City Engineer, David Johanson.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Mike Wiedmaier, 935 Randall Court spoke about the new Habitat for Humanity home that is under construction in Brookside Meadows subdivision, the Council's decision to increase the vehicle sticker fee from \$10 to \$20, and the waiving of fees for the Habitat home. Mr. Wiedmaier would like no more City fee's waived for the Habitat for Humanity home.

Eugene Carroccia, 216 S. State Street, spoke on a possible TIF district being established for the downtown area.

John Wiedmaier, 425 W. Washington Street, talked about multiple families living in single-family homes. He is aware of several homes in the Marengo area that are being rented out with multiple families living in them. He did not think that this was fair to the school districts and the City.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

The June 22, 2009 minutes were reviewed. Alderman Mike Secor made a motion to approve the minutes, seconded by Alderman Smith. The motion passed with an aye vote by Aldermen: Brackmann, DiMaria, DeBoer, Hall, Bartman, Smith, Secor, and Signore.

PUBLIC HEARING

2009-2010 Appropriations Ordinance

There was no public discussion. (See Attachment)

Intent of the City of Marengo to sell General Obligation Bond (Alternative Revenue Source) not to exceed \$2,000,000

There was no public discussion. (See Attachment)

CONSENT AGENDA

a. List of bills

b. American Legion Permit

Alderman Steve DiMaria made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda items, seconded by Alderman Smith. The motion passed with an aye vote by Aldermen: Bartman, Smith, Brackmann, DiMaria, DeBoer, Signore, Hall and Secor.

NEW BUSINESS

Brookside Meadows Residents Presentation

Kay Wiedmaier, 935 Randall Court, presented a petition of signatures stating concerns of some of the residents in Brookside Meadows subdivision pertaining to the Habitat for Humanity homes being built in the area. Ms. Wiedmaier requested a copy of the petition also be given to Habitat for Humanity.

2009-2010 Appropriations Ordinance

Alderman Michael Smith made a motion to approve the appropriations ordinance, Alderman DeBoer seconded the motion. The motion passed with an aye vote by Aldermen: Signore, Secor, Hall, Brackmann, DiMaria, Bartman, DeBoer, and Smith.

Authorization to Re-fit Two Squad Cars with New Emergency Lights

The lights the department is looking to re-fit are about 25 years old, and need serious updating. While this was not a budgeted item, funds from a similar area of the appropriation can be used. The cost would be about \$3,500. Alderman Pat Signore made a motion to approve this purchase, Alderman Secor seconded the motion. The motion passed with an aye vote by Aldermen: Smith, Brackmann, Signore, DiMaria, Bartman, Hall, Secor, and DeBoer.

Ordinance Amending Chapter 14, Dogs and Other Animals, of the Marengo Municipal Code

Attorney Arevalo explained that this amendment would allow the City to take initial action, but then “relinquish” the dog to the County. It also clarifies and exempts certain types of dogs and facilities from certain regulations, and also doubles the fines for instances involving vicious or dangerous animals.

There was a discussion on the many cats running loose in town. Sheri Cannataro, 20519 Telegraph St., requested a copy of the ordinance pertaining to this issue. She advised the City that there are numerous feral cats running loose in the downtown area at night.

Alderman Pat Signore made a motion to adopt this amendment, and was seconded by Alderman Michael Secor. The motion passed with an aye vote by Aldermen: Hall, DeBoer, DiMaria, Smith, Brackmann, Secor, Signore, and Bartman.

Ordinance Authorizing Northern Illinois Gas Company (Nicor) to Operate and Maintain a Gas Distribution System in the City of Marengo

This ordinance would renew the franchise with NICOR, which had expired on the 8th of June, 2009. The franchise would be in effect for 35 years. Alderman Michael Smith made a motion to

approve this ordinance, Alderman Steven DiMaria seconded the motion. The motion passed with an aye vote by Aldermen: DiMaria, Signore, Secor, Bartman, DeBoer, Brackmann, Hall, and Smith.

Authorization to Execute Agreement with Ehlers Associates for a Tax Increment Financing District Eligibility Study

Joshua Blakemore introduced Bill Kay, who worked on this as a Sub-Committee Chairman. Bill introduced Nancy Hill, a Consultant with Ehlers Associates. The initial projected cost of the proposed area would be \$23,000. The City currently has \$30,000 budgeted. If the City were to look at doing an additional study (to include the downtown area), the cost would then be \$44,000. The proposed site would be on the east edge of town. A question was raised about the cost of phase one (the development stage) – which is projected to be about \$12,000 – as a large portion of the paperwork seemed to be completed. It was explained that the paperwork already completed, was just a small portion of what would be required to do the job properly. A question was raised about just doing Phase 1 at this time. That is a possibility and would give the City more accurate information on what areas would be appropriate for a TIF District. However, it was explained that if only Phase 1 was done, and a developer came into town and wanted to look at doing some in this area, they would have to wait for the other two phases to be completed. The total process could take from 5 – 6 months to complete. Phase 2 (the qualification stage) would cost about \$9,000. Phase 3 (the adoption stage) would then be \$2,000.

The Council requested that Mayor Lockhart meet with Ehlers Associates to see if they would amend their proposed fee if we were to do both studies at the same time. He will contact them and see what he can work out. At this time, a motion was made by Alderman Carole Bartman, and seconded by Alderman Signore, to table any further action on this subject until after we hear back from Mayor Lockhart on his attempt to work out a package deal with Ehlers Associates. The motion to table passed with an aye vote by Aldermen: Secor, DeBoer, DiMaria, Brackmann, Smith, Bartman, Signore, and Hall.

Authorization to Execute Agreement for Business Incentives – Karsten’s

MEDC Representative Dane Checcolinski went over the BIPiM recommendation for the tax abatement (5 years), sales tax rebate (4 years), and no fee waivers as noted in the Incentive Agreement with Karstens Service, Inc. Council members reviewed this agreement, questioning wording on page 2 (Increase the gross taxable assessment of its real property). This wording was changed to investment. There was some discussion about the “hold harmless” wording indicated on page 12. Also, questioned was the wording on page 7 on item d. Source of Funds: The City goes through the Abatement Ordinances, and at that time steps are taken to actually “abate” the taxes that would normally be due. Alderman Signore made a motion to approve this Application and Agreement with the changes noted on page 2, Alderman Secor seconded. The motion passed with an aye vote by Aldermen: DeBoer, Bartman, Hall, Smith, Secor, Brackmann, DiMaria, and Signore.

Brookside Meadows Residents Presentation

At this point in the Council meeting, the Mayor returned to the agenda item pertaining to concerns by residents of Brookside Meadows.

Alderman Signore questioned Jayson Shull if there was an elevation for the Habitat for Humanity home – there is none available at this time. He questioned whether the home does meet the minimum standards for the subdivision agreement for that development. Jayson stated that standard practices in the City do not enforce subdivision covenants and restrictions. The Habitat for Humanity home that was proposed and reviewed does meet the City of Marengo building codes and zoning codes. Alderman Brackmann stated that in the subdivision annexation agreement, there are architectural features that are required. These are not an association guideline – but an annexation guideline that must be met. The City drafted the original Annexation Agreement back in 2003, and as such, the building permits would be issued with those guidelines in mind. Attorney Arevalo spoke about the fact that the association covenants should reflect the same restrictions or requirements as what is in the annexation agreement. If the covenants do not mention any specific requirements or restrictions, then your basic City codes would be applicable. Jayson was questioned on whether the application for the building permit was reviewed using the annexation agreement, and whether that home met the requirements outlined in that document. He stated that he did review it and the home met those requirements. He stated that there was no minimum square footage requirement in that agreement. However, the home is required to have higher quality siding, architectural shingles, and concrete driveways and brick front - minimum of half brick on the front of the dwelling. Individuals addressing the City Council at this time were:

Ellen Montgomery, 640 Mildred Drive. I have spoken with people at the City, and at the County, and I have read the covenants, and I have heard every assorted version, and you are probably correct that there is 1,000 sq. feet and so on and so forth. Cosmetically it will probably be lovely. I just sat here for an hour and listed to improvements for Marengo. I have seen what Habitat for Humanity does when it does it right and when it does it wrong. Done correctly it is a wonderful thing – and it can improve a community – I have watched it first hand – live. And I have watched what it does when it is forced down the throats of a community and this isn't an issue about the City ordinance – this is an issue about the City itself. I grew up here – you know me as Ellen Becklinger probably more than Montgomery. I moved back here when I had a child because I was very proud of this community and I know what Habitat can do when it is done badly. Right or wrong, whatever our builder did agree to – the City agreed to – I have a concern that I would like you to address regarding representation of Habitat. They have misrepresented this function. I have seen it. They have taken somebody – and their premise is “the need”. The need for housing. The person that they have selected, without getting in the details, I don't know her and I don't care. But that was not a need, that was a want. And I don't want Marengo to become the dumping ground for “Hey we got a lot and people fell on hard times – let's hurry up and buy it – and force something into our entire community.” Not just Brookside Meadows – take that name off of it – slap Marengo onto it. I listened to hours of tedious time and study and effort to make Marengo something wonderful – something that I came home to and if we do not watch it, and do not monitor it, if we are not careful, we will all be robbed of it. That is why I sat here, waiting patiently because I need you to know. I am not somebody who just bought a house in Marengo. This is home – generations of homes. I recognize faces that are sitting here, it is home. It is not about a 1,000 sq. feet – or does it have brick – or did it have a concrete driveway. I am looking at..... Didn't you tell me it was the 11th largest builder in the Nation? I'm sure it is. But I have seen it when it goes into a community that is devastated, and it rebuilds a house and finds a home for somebody. They finance it – they can live in it. They can function in it.

And then I see what it does when you wedge it into a spot and it doesn't fit. I'm asking - I am looking for your protection as a resident of Marengo, to prevent Habitat from making this a dumpy little town. There needs to be more than "Habitat can buy whatever they want". There are houses - pick a street - that are available that could have been renovated, could have improved a neighborhood, could have been affordable to the people in their criteria. Instead, somebody from Habitat - said I want to do this and I can because there is a little loophole in our City agreement that says we can do that. So now, down the street we have somebody who will become unwelcome because of the mis-use of Habitat. You have an assortment of these that are going in. It isn't welcome because it is being done in the wrong context - not because of the person that is attached to it.

Pat Smith, Pinion Trail (owns a piece of property in Brookside Meadows). His understanding is that Habitat for Humanity owns 4 properties. He knows for a fact that one or two of them are in the third phase - which does have covenants stating the size of a home. So if they plan on putting a 1000 sq. ft home there - that is not going to happen. He went to a meeting that the Brackmann's ran about a month ago and they were asked if there were any "sizement" regulations and they said no. Obviously they are off. There are also 2 group homes being built in that sub-division. Their covenants state single family shall mean "no more than each related to by blood, marriage, or adoption - or a group of not more than 3 persons - not all soul related, together with his or their domestic servants." There are 2 group homes that strictly go against this right now. I don't know if that means that you guys oversaw these covenants - or if these are just covenants from the subdivision - but right now there is one home that is about half-way built and it will have 8 individuals in that home. I have nothing against the individuals going in the home - I have something against what is trying to be done here. I also asked the Brackmann's if they planned on selling more Habitat for Humanity homes and the words strictly out of their mouth "As many as they want to buy, we will sell to them." My problem here is - I understand that Impact Fees were waived. If Impact Fees are waived on the next 3 - and they sell 10 or 15 more, when do you stop?

**** Mayor Lockhart interrupted Mr. Smith at this point****

Mayor Lockhart corrected the statement that was being spread that the City had waived the Impact Fees on the Habitat Home. He read from the minutes of the May 11, 2009 City Council Meeting - the City waived their portion of the fees, but did not waive any Impact Fees applicable to the schools, rescue squad, fire department, etc. The normal \$7549 fee was reduced by \$1600 - leaving a total Impact Fee paid by Habitat of \$5,949.

****Mr. Smith then continued****

My question is - the covenants here, were they overseen and you guys approved them or is that the Brackmann's that did that? Because right now there are 2 group homes that are being built that are strictly against this.

****Attorney Arevalo spoke briefly****

Covenants are typically reviewed by the city and they are approved through the process. The covenants are documents that are enforceable by the homeowners association individually. Once we have gone through the process of approving the documents, at that point in time it becomes an owner driven issue, and it is a contractual arrangement between the people that buy into that

neighborhood (or subdivision) and the homeowners association that may be running it. But if there is any dispute there – that is a dispute that is between you folks. So it becomes difficult for the City to get in the middle of it, because we are not a party to them. We may have approved them for form – that is the process – but then the enforcement of it becomes an issue that is for the homeowners to address.

****Mr. Smith continued****

Then I need to ask this question. If the Brackmann's are the ones enforcing the covenants and they are the ones breaking the covenants – at what time does the City do something? Something is going on here and something is going on behind the scenes that we as a City and as a Council need to really keep an eye on and do something about.

****Attorney Arevalo****

I think this is also the responsibility of the owners and obviously you are addressing it – which is why you are here.

****Mr. Smith****

We are trying to do something because it is getting really bad.

****Attorney Arevalo****

And so your responsibility is to go to the homeowners association – however that is set up – and look into those issues and if there is a need to obviously prevent certain building structures – whatever grievance it is that you have – it is something that has to be addressed to that board and that board has to take action of whatever kind. This is depending on the owners. Can we, as a City, do something about it? The City will probably now note that there is an issue for the Homeowners Association with respect to the approval or sale of buildings and we will probably recommend to the City that they don't issue those permits until the matter is resolved between the homeowners and the association. But, to the extent that you would want the City to intervene in that process – at that point in time we are beyond what we can do because if the documents have been approved, and they are in place, and they are for the homeowners to enforce.

****Mr. Smith****

So you have two houses being built, and you've got the Brackmann's that run it.....

****Attorney Arevalo****

You are also a member (of the association) and you have voting rights – and then it becomes a matter of voting blocks. Again, can the City get involved in it? The City can only now look at your situation and consider whether they are going to issue any permits, because obviously they can wait until the matter is resolved.

****Mr. Smith****

Well, I don't think it is going to be resolved, because they won't talk to me about it.

John Wiedmaier, 425 W Washington St. With the research of what is going on in the area, he personally has done some research also, with Homes for Humanity. Basically I think it is everyone's opinion that is sitting here – a house is a privilege. It is not given to anybody. You

have to work for your house. In this situation, I think it's been given away. I don't think that there would be one person in this room tonight – I don't want to take the words from my brother – but if that house was given to a vet that had just come over from war with two missing legs, there wouldn't be one person in here standing to you guys tonight, talking about this. That is where Homes for Humanity has destroyed the situation that they came to do in this town. (At this point he specifically addressed Corey Brackmann) – Corey, you made a statement earlier in regards to Karsten's starting their project – regarding the situation with taxes – you should have let these people know exactly what you were doing before you started that project. You just ate your own words.

Ross Kitchen, 726 Mildred. He just found out about this being on the agenda today, and it says on the agenda that Brookside Meadows Residents Presentation. I would like to know who requested the presentation and I would like to know who they think they are representing me.

Robert Wills - lives in Phase III – 941 Meadow Lane. They are in Phase III and their contract expired over a year ago and they don't have a president or anything like they were talking about. We have no voting rights. Mr. Kitchen is his neighbor – he lives across the street – he is a good man. Robert requested that he and some of his neighbors be put on the agenda for tonight. They wanted to speak – they wanted input – to meet the board. So, to answer his question – that is me, Mr. Kitchen.

Mary Lundvich, 740 Meadow Lane. She praised Habitat for Humanity as an effective and good organization, and she remembered back to neighborhoods with small houses and big houses – side by side. Nobody, at that time, worried about the size of their neighbor's house. All have seen the value of their houses go down, and when she hears that this “person” is going to be unwelcome – before they even move in – she feels that we have lost something in this country.

Jim Brackmann, 20912 Ratfield Road (owner/developer of the property) asked to mention a few things. Things are tough right now. Our intentions, originally, when we developed this thing – we were going to build all of the houses in this development. Things are tough right now – we are probably not even going to have a chance of saving the development because of the way things are. They are in a position where they do have to sell homes. They do have to sell lots – they have 170 lots and they do have to sell houses and lots. In 2003, they built 20 houses in a year. Also in 2004 and 2005. That is when the development was started. If things would have stayed the way they were, there would not have been a problem – but when you only build 2 houses a year and you have a multi-million dollar loan out there, you have to do something. He has lived here all his life and these people complain – they lived here all their life too. But, there is nothing they can do about it. There is not. As far as the annexation agreement goes, Habitat is meeting everything that is in the annexation agreement. They are meeting the square footage, all the architectural reviews – they are meeting all of that criteria. And just to say – for them – we don't want no part of you. That isn't right. The lots were listed at \$45,000 – everyone that lives in that development – those lots are for sale – you can buy any of those lots. They are for sale. They came to us, they said they wanted some lots. They gave us the price that we had listed on those lots. What can we do? First off – how can you tell them no? We don't want to sell to you! We don't like you – we don't want anything to do with you! How can you

do that? Maybe this group home thing that Mr. Smith is talking about – maybe that was something that was overlooked. Bethesda had those agreements – and the City’s covenants and restrictions – they had all of that. We had no idea what they were even going to do. We didn’t know. They submitted to the City and they got a permit. We didn’t know what they were doing. We had no idea. Maybe it is something that got overlooked by the City – by us – what can we do? But, things are tough out there – and more than likely it is going to be sold out. Some developer is going to buy it and there ain’t going to be no control. And they are going to do whatever they want. He could have sold that development in 2003 to someone that wanted to buy it – but he said no, he wanted to do it. He wishes now that he would have never taken it on. They have to sell lots or the bank is going to take them.

Mayor Lockhart – The City just honored a 19-year old agreement because it was an agreement that was made all those years ago by the City. Whether we like the agreement or not had nothing to do with it. It is what was agreed upon at that point and we have to live up to it. It is as simple as that. Mayor Lockhart was not on the Council when this annexation was drawn up – most of the Council were not here at that time – but it is still something, as you can see and you all know, we are dealing with the situation here this evening. Obviously there are things that the Council and the Mayor learns as we go along. Things change, the laws change and it is not an easy position to be in. I just don’t believe that we have the authority under the terms of these agreements to really do anything to help you. We have to follow what was agreed to by other people, as much as they will have to follow what we agree to now in the future.

Gary Thurow, 905 Randall Court. My question is, if Brackmann sells all of the lots to the Habitat for Humanity – are you going to waive all the fees? That would be a lot of money because there is a lot of property out there.

Alderman Steve DiMaria asked to go on the record – recommended that the Council, from this point on, look more closely about waiving fees. If we are going to waive everybody that comes up here, everybody that comes in front of the Council, we might as well not even have fees then. He wanted it noted that, for the future, that we consider what we do waive because the municipality does need the revenue.

Alderman Smith clarified that typically the fees that are waived are for charitable organizations. The Council rarely waives the fees for builders. These are reviewed and considered on a case-by-case basis.

Alderman Signore asked that the following information be put into the record: “The property in question, the review that was done by the building commissioner, that property met all of the guidelines within the agreement that the City entered into years ago, and from the standpoint of the annexation agreement, the home that was proposed met all of those guidelines and the permit was properly issued. There was no oversight on the City’s part. “

Gretchen Spreitzer, 750 Meadow Lane. She has a concern about the 2 group homes. Don’t we have zoning – how did the building permits get issued in a residential neighborhood? Somebody said that there was a slip-up – is that the answer?

Jayson Shull spoke on the criteria for a R-1 Zone (residential zoning). The R-1 Zoning District Regulation does allow for group homes to be built in Marengo. There are 3 criteria that have to be met: (1) licensed by the State of Illinois (2) it must be 1,000 feet away from the nearest group home and (3) it can harbor no more than 8 rooms. With those 3 criteria being met, the home is acceptable in the City and the building permit was issued accordingly.

****Ms. Spritzer****

Is there some way to change that? How do you go about do that? This is a residential neighborhood. People paid really good money for these homes that they are already in and all of a sudden they have these group homes. We don't even know who is going into these group homes? What kind of residents they are? There is no information. All of a sudden they are just being built – and that is what people are upset about. There was no information given to the community that is already there.

****Alderman Signore****

The problem that we have to work within though – is that if it meets our guidelines we can't tell someone "you can't do that". And if someone proposes something that is compliant with zoning, they don't have to tell you what they are doing. They have to tell us what they are doing, and our building commissioner has to make certain that it meets all of the guidelines within that zoning classification for an R-1. And if it does, there isn't anything that we can do to say you can't do that, because they meet the criteria. Our hands are tied.

****Ms. Spitzer****

Okay. This has been a revelation for this whole neighborhood and I think for all of you.

All are concerned about how the home is going to impact their home values, their schools, and their community. Some were totally against having a Habitat Home built in the Marengo area. Some are upset that an influx of these types of dwellings are not good. Some were concerned that the individual that is purchasing the home will not be accepted in the town. Some were concerned about the hostility that is being shown to the home and the persons that will be living there – and wonders what is wrong with the people of the town.

Also discussed this evening was the group home that is being constructed. The R-1 Zoning District Regulation does allow for group homes to be built in Marengo. There are 3 criteria that have to be met: (1) licensed by the State of Illinois (2) it must be 1,000 feet away from the nearest group home and (3) it can harbor no more than 8 units. With those 3 criteria being met, the home is acceptable in the City – and the building permit was issued accordingly.

Mayor Lockhart corrected the statement that was being spread that the City had waived the Impact Fees on the Habitat Home. He read from the minutes of the May 11, 2009 City Council Meeting – the City waived their portion of the fees, but did not waive any Impact Fees applicable to the schools, rescue squad, fire department, etc. The normal \$7549 fee was reduced by \$1600 – leaving a total Impact Fee paid by Habitat of \$5,949.

Mr. Brackmann talked about the development when they started the project back in 2003. He talked about how the demand for homes has dropped to just about nothing due to the economy, but the need to sell the lots did not go away, as the loan needs to be paid. He stated that there

was no way that he could deny the purchase of the lot by Habitat because that denial would be illegal.

Alderman Signore asked that the following information be put into the record: “The property in question, the review that was done by the Building Commissioner, that the property met all of the guidelines within the agreement that the City entered into years ago, and from the standpoint of the annexation agreement, the home that was proposed met all of those guidelines and the permit was properly issued. There was no oversight on the City’s part. “

OLD BUSINESS

Authorization to Execute a Contract for Security Services with ADT

Wireless Alarm Monitoring Presentation from Marengo Fire Protection District

City Administrator, Deborah Neir, stated that they had not had an opportunity to meet with everyone necessary at this time, but they are hoping to have the meeting before our next Council Meeting, and should be able to present that information at the July 27, 2009 meeting.

MAYOR’S STATEMENTS AND REPORTS

No report at this time.

DEPARTMENT HEAD AND STAFF REPORTS

Building Commissioner, Jayson Shull asked the Council to review the information that he had handed out, and asked if anyone had any questions. He was questioned about whether the City could do anything about the canopy downtown that was removed, and the resulting eyesore that the front of that building has become. It was suggested that we look at the guidelines that are in place and “beef them up” to better address a situation such as this.

Assistant to the City Administrator, Joshua Blakemore - no report this evening.

Deputy Chief, Joseph Hallman – report given to each Council Member. There were no questions.

City Engineer, David Johanson, submitted a written report for the Council’s review. He also noted that there has been some movement with the State’s Budget and we hope to get final verdict on the emergency road repair grant that was submitted. Hopefully we will hear something on it in the next couple of weeks. He is still working on the parking issue on Route 23 – being bounced around by IDOT. Hopefully we should have a yea or nay within the next couple of weeks.

City Administrator, Deborah Neir – no report this evening.

City Attorney, Carlos Arevalo – no report this evening.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mayor Lockhart stated that the Council would need to go into Executive Session this evening to discuss Litigation, Approval of the Executive Session Minutes, Personnel and Collective Bargaining. Alderman Michael Smith made a motion to go into Executive Session at this time

for those stated purposes, with Alderman Secor seconding that motion. The motion passed with an aye vote by Aldermen: Smith, Bartman, Hall, Brackmann, DiMaria, DeBoer, Signore, and Secor.

The Council entered Executive Session at 9:25 PM.

The Council returned from Executive Session at 9:53 PM.

Present when the Council reconvened in Regular Session were Mayor Lockhart, Aldermen: Hall, DiMaria, Secor, Smith, Signore, Bartman, DeBoer, and Brackmann.

ADJOURNMENT

As there was no further business to come before the City this evening, a motion was made by Alderman Carole Bartman, and seconded by Alderman Todd Hall, to adjourn. This motion passed with an aye voice vote. Meeting adjourned at 9:55 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Constance J. Boxleitner
City Clerk