

CITY COUNCIL MINUTES
JULY 10, 2006

CALL TO ORDER

At 7:05 p.m., Mayor Lockhart called to order the July 10, 2006, Marengo City Council meeting. He then led the "Pledge of Allegiance".

ROLL CALL

Mayor Lockhart, Alderman Jennings, Alderman Shelton, Alderman Secor, Alderman Genot, Alderman Otis, Alderman Trainor, Alderman Signore and Alderman Spear were present for roll call.

PUBLIC HEARING-2006/2007 APPROPRIATIONS ORDINANCE

At 7:07 p.m., Mayor Lockhart opened the Public Hearing for the fiscal year 2006-2007 appropriations.

ROLL CALL

Present for roll were: Alderman Signore, Alderman Jennings, Alderman Spear, Alderman Shelton, Alderman Otis, Alderman Secor, Alderman Trainor, Alderman Genot and Mayor Lockhart.

With there being no questions or comments from the members or the public, Alderman Shelton made a motion to close the July 10, 2006, Public Hearing for the 2006/2007 appropriations. The motion was seconded by Alderman Signore. Motion passed with an aye voice vote from Alderman: Signore, Spear, Jennings, Shelton, Secor, Genot, Otis and Trainor.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Glen Bober, who works for Sight Acquisition Consultants, was present to represent US Cellular. If the members had any questions regarding New Business, Item h, Discussion Regarding Potential Cell Tower Location, he was here to answer them.

Delores Wolf had a concern regarding the placement of a cell tower on Sponable Street. She lives approximately 100 yards from the site and objected to the tower being located there. She also mentioned Floit Ready Mix which is located in the same area has a conveyor belt she felt was a danger to children and would like to have someone take a look at it.

PRESENTATION

ILLINOIS CERTIFIED CITY PRESENTATION

John Wyrostek, Marengo Historical Preservation Commission Chairman, presented to the City a plaque designating the City as Certified Local Government from the U. S. Department of Interior and Illinois Historic Preservation Agency.

This means we will have access to various State resource materials. We can apply for grants for diverse projects such as historic surveys, preservation plans, staff support, office equipment, development of walking, driving and marketing public education on historic properties.

He thanked the members for their support to receive this designation and explained what procedure/steps had to be taken in order to receive this. With this designation, he stated doors would open up like crazy now.

July 10, 2006

Mr. Wyrostek was thanked by the Mayor and members for his dedicated work to achieve this designation.

NEW BUSINESS

APPROVAL OF THE JUNE 26, 2006, REGULAR AND EXECUTIVE SESSION MINUTES

Alderman Genot made and Alderman Secor seconded the motion to approve the June 26, 2006, Marengo City Council and Executive Session minutes. The motion passed with an aye voice vote from Mayor Lockhart, Alderman Jennings, Alderman Secor, Alderman Spear and Alderman Genot. Abstaining were Aldermen: Otis, Shelton, Trainor and Signore.

LIST OF BILLS

After several questions regarding the bills list were answered, Alderman Secor made and Alderman Genot seconded a motion to approve the bills list as presented. The motion passed with an aye voice vote from Alderman: Signore, Spear, Genot, Secor, Otis, Trainor, Shelton and Jennings.

BLOCK PARTY REQUEST-HUNTER'S PATH

The City received a request to have a block party, July 22, 2006, 2-9:00 p.m., on Hunters Path in the Brookside Meadows Community. Alderman Genot made and Alderman Trainor seconded the motion to approve the request for the block party mentioned above. The motion passed with an aye voice vote from Alderman: Signore, Jennings, Shelton, Spear, Genot, Trainor, Secor and Otis.

APPLICATION FOR FINAL PLAT APPROVAL-BROOKSIDE MEADOWS PHASE III

Brackmann Development is requesting final plat approval for Brookside Meadows, Phase III. At the May 15, 2006, Planning and Zoning Commission meeting, the Commission recommended approval contingent upon fulfilling certain deficiencies and making changes requested by Baxter & Woodman and City staff.

There are three items Baxter and Woodman is requesting we receive before giving ultimate approval of the engineering.

1. Final Army Corps of Engineering sign off on the revised wetland delineation and proposed bridge on Stonegate Drive.

2. Submittal of shop drawings and structural calculations for the proposed pre-cast concrete bridge units. The design included in the plans is generic to three manufactures and each manufacturer has slightly different details.
3. Submittal of a shop drawing for the proposed trash rack for the proposed detention basin outlets shown on sheet 7.

Our engineer addressed the reservation of a lot on the westerly edge of the property for the possibility of having a continuous roadway that would provide a loop providing access from two different locations to the property in the area without having to get access to Maple. They are trying to identify where they (engineer) believe that roadway connection should take place.

July 10, 2006

The engineer is of the understanding that Mr. Brackmann is willing to set aside a lot for this purpose as long as it can be identified.

Administrator Hartman stated Mr. Brackmann is willing to preserve it but not forever. If it is not used for a road connection, he would like the ability to build on it as per the preliminary plat. Mr. Brackmann stated he had no problem with this. He would like a time frame but really hadn't thought about how long it should be.

Some comments/discussion items were: Sufficient time line be placed on this for in the annexation agreement with Mr. Brackmann, there is money for a transportation plan that has a very short time limit placed on it and we might not be able to capitalize on this fee before the funds are lost; in Phase 1 & 2 of this development, there was no time limit established as it was based on the number of houses built out and perhaps this could be done again; the property owner North of this has not presented anything to us so we could be looking at a long time table (years); annexation agreement is for 20 years; Mr. Brackmann feels this will be developed within five years if not, take a look at it again; suggestion to have a 10 year timetable for this; City doesn't have a solidified transportation plan and didn't want to see us not allow for adequate roadway in this area; Mr. Brackmann is all for this roadway as it would help his development; putting a ten year or 80% build out and then reconsider; appreciative of Mr. Brackmann's compromising with this lot; developer was not willing to have a ten year limit placed on the parcel; per annexation agreement, developer doesn't have to donate lot for this improvement; ad hoc transportation to work with Brackmann; bike path will be made of concrete, no entitlement transfers in annexation agreement; land conservancy versus

Homeowner's Association; cost for intersection (Maple via Courtney Lane) has not been determined thus an amount for the LOC can't be determined for this but if it is to be considered part of this development, then an estimate of this cost could be given and added on the \$4,360,036.69; Kennedy Homes is to pay 50% of the intersection improvements; Kennedy has submitted plans to County as they are doing all the design work; our engineer doesn't know why it is taking so long; a speed study has been done; hill might have to be cut down; County's review engineer has submitted back a number of comments and this is where it is sitting; Kennedy has indicated willingness to provide and build this improvement; our engineer feels they are obligated to do so based on the approved plat; Brayton Knolls Subdivision (Kennedy) hasn't been closed out; Mr. Brackmann would consider taking over the responsibility to get the permit for the County and build the improvements if we can negotiate a 50/50 split with Kennedy Homes; ask Kennedy's engineer to agree to let Mr. Brackmann's engineer use some of his work so there is no violation of registration law so this might get done sooner than later; this would have to be added to the plat; have had to rely on Mr. Brackmann to get things done in the past and looks like will have to again; changes that might have to be done to the plat; difference between a bond and Letter of Credit; deferring the LOC value for the intersection improvements until we know how much it is but developer cannot get any occupancy prior to the issuance of the LOC; plat restrictions; developer was not going to add anything to the annexation agreement; architectural standards are in place in the annexation agreement; developer wasn't sure if they would do another development with all the hassles and felt current annexation agreement had lots of restrictions in it; developer didn't know what the members wanted as every time they come before them, they (members) want more; City has not done a good job in the past with getting concessions from past dealings with all developers and are now trying to learn from past mistakes; be fair to all but fair to the community first and members are trying to provide for safeguards.

July 10, 2006

At this point in time, the members had no problem with moving on to the next agenda item per the Mayor's suggestion in order to give our attorney time to work on the language needed for a motion on this item.

RESOLUTION 06-7-A, TO CLOSE RT. 23 FOR SETTLERS DAY PARADE

Alderman Signore made and Alderman Genot seconded a motion to approve Resolution 06-7-A which is asking IDOT for approval to close Rt. 23 (State Street) on Saturday, October 7, 4-10:30 pm (Saturday Night on Main Street) and Sunday, October 8, 1-4:00 p.m. (Parade) for Settlers Days. Motion passed with an aye voice vote from Alderman: Secor, Genot, Otis, Trainor, Spear, Signore, Shelton and Jennings.

ORDINANCE 06-7-1, 2006/2007 APPROPRIATIONS ORDINANCE

Alderman Genot made a motion to adopt Ordinance 06-7-1, Making the Appropriation for Corporate Purposes for the Fiscal Year From May 1, 2006 to April 30, 2007. The motion was seconded by Alderman Spear. Motion carried with an aye voice vote from Alderman: Otis, Trainor, Jennings, Shelton, Signore, Spear, Genot and Secor.

Mayor Lockhart was asked to switch items g & h of the agenda which he did.

DISCUSSION REGARDING POTENTIAL CELL TOWER LOCATION

The Planning & Zoning Commission did not recommend approval to locate a cell tower on Sponable. So, Site Acquisition Consultants is now looking into alternate sites. It appears that our well #7/pumping station site would be an alternative site. However, before investing time and money into a site investigation, they would like to know if the members have any objections placing the tower there and working out a lease with the City.

This site has sufficient property to put a cell tower up without impacting current operations, equipment, facilities or future facilities. It is currently zoned M-Manufacturing which would make the tower a permitted use.

The tower should not interfere with the equipment at the well/pumping station per FCC regulations as it would be on a different frequency.

They like to have the tower control the lightning so they ground the tower, ground the antennas on the tower separately, ground the building with a halo on the ground and drill rods going down off of the halo, ground the fences and ground the gates on the fences so they can control where the lightning strikes.

This tower will be designed to collapse upon itself if there is a problem. They have had only two collapses so far and that was in New Orleans in the hurricane last year when 30 feet of soil was washed away by all the water.

They intend to put the money forward so that there could be up to four carriers on the tower. The plan is to lease the least amount of space from us to make their facility work. If anyone else would like to use the tower, they could lease space from the City for the land and help them recoup their costs to build a stronger tower. Any carrier locating on this tower, would get a stronger signal.

July 10, 2006

Mr. Bobber stated he could not say there wasn't a one in a million chance the taxpayers are going to have something happen but with 236,000 towers now operating in the country, he felt there would not be a problem with them intentionally spilling into another frequency. They will take all

necessary steps to avoid this as there is also a \$50,000 from the FCC if they don't.

It was pointed out if there were a frequency issue, it wouldn't make any difference if the tower was located at the well 7 site or outside the City limits. Some towers are located on hospitals which Mr. Bober felt was a more critical issue of frequency control than a water tank.

It was the consensus of the members this site would be okay.

Our engineer felt there would be enough room for this but would like some opportunity to make sure it would be okay. Administrator Hartman advised they had not specifically designated where it would go. Before doing this, they wanted the member's thoughts before putting forth any effort. Obviously the City engineer will be involved because we have to consider future expansion such as a water treatment facility and a reserved site for a well as we would not want to impact any expansion of the water system on the property.

BROOKSIDE MEADOWS PHASE III FINAL PLAT APPROVAL CONTINUED

Alderman Signore read the motion our attorney had written for consideration. It was, motion to approve the final plat for Brookside Meadows, Phase 3 with a revision date of June 30, 2006, and a text revision date of July 3, 2006, contingent on the following:

1. City engineer to approve final engineering including approval of engineering related to the proposed precast concrete bridge units on Stonegate related to and proposed trash rack for the detention basin outlets shown on sheet #7.
2. Final signoff by Army Corps of Engineers on the revised wetland delineation in a proposed bridge on Stonegate Drive.
3. Developer to designate a lot for a period of ten years with a review at five years to provide future connection to Gurke development on either Mildred or Cloverleaf.
4. Letter of Credit in the amount of \$4, 360,034.69 issued as security for necessary public improvement.
5. That the developer would undertake to complete the assessment regarding the cost of the improvements for the lane and Maple Street intersections and that following such assessment developer shall be responsible for 50% of these costs and shall provide an acceptable letter of credit for this amount as reflected in note 7 of the plat.

There was discussion again relating to the time period related to item 3 of the motion as some felt it should be at

an 80% build-out. Mr. Brackmann stated he would not agree to the terms.

Mayor Lockhart told Mr. Brackmann there were reasons why we have to have this in writing whether he liked it or not as it is just like a land contract in that what is in the contract is what is lived up to.

July 10, 2006

Mr. Brackmann again stated his annexation did not say he had to give the lot and he was not going to wait ten years. They could not add things to the annexation agreement as it doesn't work that way.

He felt Kennedy Homes would not contribute the other 50% for the improvements and the City would probably make him pay for all of it.

A comment was made it didn't look like a decision could be made tonight; however, Mr. Brackmann said he wanted it approved as they were going to start moving dirt.

Administrator Hartman stated in respect to the lot reservation, this was something Mr. Brackmann agreed to that was above and beyond the preliminary plat. We would love to have it for ten years but the fact of matter is a final plat needs to be consistent with the preliminary plat and in this respect, it is. The preliminary plat does not show the road connection which in hind site, should have. He is willing to reserve the lot but he is not under any obligation to do so in either the annexation agreement or the preliminary plat.

Discussion revolved around how many lots where in this phase, about how many homes he builds in a year, the fact this will probably be built out before ten years, commenting members were trying to separate themselves from personal feelings as this was City business. City business was done sometimes with a handshake which has caused a few problems that we are still trying to live up to.

Our attorney then read additional language, "The developer designate a lot to remain available for five years or 80% build out which ever occurs first to provide for future connections to the current development on Mildred or Cloverleaf."

Alderman Genot asked Mr. Brackmann if this was acceptable to him and then made it a motion. The motion was seconded by Alderman Jennings. Alderman Otis wanted to know if this would address that it would be amended that Mr. Brackmann has agreed to have the bike path be constructed of concrete rather than asphalt as she would like to see it in writing.

She was advised there already was a motion. She replied, "We'll have to make another motion." Alderman Genot stated as long as Mr. Brackmann agrees to it, he would make the motion and amend the previous motion.

Mr. Brackmann commented we had no leverage with Kennedy to get the intersection done. Mayor Lockhart stated the intersection needs to get in and hoped we had the leverage to do so. However, this was done before a lot of the members were here and could not speak to it.

The comment was made the members were not trying to be difficult with Mr. Brackmann but they were trying to prevent a mistake like this happening again. As a community, we can't just do a handshake because sometimes people do not live up to the terms of the agreement as there is concern on any development moving forward that it might be sold as the individual for the Meyer Road property (Seven Oaks) has already stated he would not be building it so the members know they have to get it right.

July 10, 2006

Our attorney gave a recap of the motion. Mayor Lockhart stated he would like to see this item be resolved tonight. He asked Mr. Brackmann to go with our attorney to a private area to discuss the different points of the motion to make sure he was comfortable and agreeable with them.

PRESENTATION OF DEVELOPMENT FEE AD HOC COMMITTEE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Alderman Trainor, Chairman of the ad hoc committee, submitted information for the member's packets and wanted to summarize it a little.

She discussed in particular Attachment D which was a two page memo from the Village Manager of Huntley to a potential developer that contained information on /for seven key items- property, interchange/transportation impact fees, time frame, construction commitments, offering, no entitlement transfer and estimated impact fees.

She stated other communities within reasonable driving range of Marengo are in the \$20 to mid \$30,000 range for per unit fees for new annexations.

She discussed Attachment A that was obtained thru a freedom of information request. It obtained information from eleven different communities. She called the members attention to the left column. She felt this might be helpful to the City to help develop/design a table similar to this for our internal use as we look at future annexations as we now have nothing like this now that she was aware of.

This attachment is absent of communities right around us as this study was done on behalf of a Sugar Grove project so, consequently, communities around there were selected. The developer contributed this information. So, Alderman Trainor supposed they tried to pick projects that favored them financially and suspected when they would look at the total row of those communities, the fees might be on the low side as she did not know the dates of the projects listed nor how well they were negotiated. Sugar Grove, per the attachment, fee amount was \$37,726 per unit versus our \$11,000 from an annexation agreement several years ago.

Her committee is suggesting the City use the Capital Development Fund, Attachment E. The committee tried to identify the different categories for funding they felt would need to take place based on some input they received.

As a committee, they were unable to develop the numbers themselves as they lacked the information/expertise/ ability to do so but they wanted to lay the foundation. Many of the categories listed are from commentaries from the members as to what they felt we should be setting funds aside for.

Mayor Lockhart thanked Alderman Trainor and her committee for all the work they put into this the last few months. He was aware it was not an easy task as they had to gather a lot of this information and try to decipher it.

Mayor Lockhart wanted to know where the members wanted to go from here with this information. Alderman Trainor stated as a committee, they wanted to move this as quickly as they could back

July 10, 2006

internally to City staff to begin to develop some actual numbers to provide developers when they come to us.

Alderman Signore stated he appreciated the attachments to see what other communities' fees are but we need to get fees established to meet our needs, i.e. a need for an eight and half million dollar WWTP expansion. He felt it was important for the people with the answers to give them to the members to fill in attachment E. He didn't think it was necessary to meet on this until we receive those numbers.

Alderman Trainor stated one of the things she and Administrator Hartman had talked about was taking our current fiscal budget and figure out a per capita basis for our lag fee. He did this only to find out our cost was higher than our revenue. It cost the City \$463.50 per person to meet the needs of the City and the tax revenue we receive is lower than that.

There was discussion relating to how and when to make adjustments to the fee amounts. Alderman Trainor stated when

talking to the Village of Huntley, they advised not to negotiate our fees as they are fixed and are what they are.

Alderman Trainor will give all this information to Administrator Hartman so he can get the numbers needed to get a fee amount for developers.

By this time, our attorney and Mr. Brackmann were back. Mr. Brackmann would like to discuss the items discussed tonight with his attorney. It was then decided, since the members were already meeting on Thursday night for a C.O.W. meeting for budget review, they would have a special meeting before this meeting to discuss this specific item.

OLD BUSINESS

There was no Old Business for discussion.

MAYOR'S STATEMENTS AND REPORTS

CHIEF BOARD PROCLAMATION

Mayor Lockhart read a proclamation recognizing former Police Chief Guynn Board who passed away June 5. He announced he is going to be buried in Marengo City Cemetery tomorrow morning and anyone who would like to attend may do so as he has no relatives living in Illinois.

DEPARTMENT HEAD AND STAFF REPORTS

BUILDING DEPARTMENT

A written report was submitted for their review. Alderman Genot thanked Commissioner Shull for his quick response to a problem at 808 North Hale Street as he noticed a lawn mower was running over the weekend.

Alderman Signore mentioned the CDC finally has a sign ordinance that will be presented to the members for their approval at the next meeting. He would like to have the ordinance e-mailed ahead of time so the members could have additional time to look it over as it is lengthy.

July 10, 2006

PUBLIC WORKS

A written Water & Sewer and WWTP report were included in the packet.

Alderman Otis asked Supt. Craney for an update as to what happened at one of our pumping stations. He stated there was a significant amount of damages done to the pumping station. ComEd was called in and they felt this was caused by a bird. It was commented this was a cope out for ComEd and felt they would, even with strong evidence, continue to deny it was their fault.

An insurance adjustor looked at the damages. He is having an electrical engineer come to look, assess and evaluate the damages to see what he felt might have happened.

We have received a portion of the bills to get the station back up and running to a certain degree in the amount of about \$25,000. We will have to have someone program the system as right now, it is being run manually.

We will wait and see what the insurance company response is and then, if need be, we will have Baxter & Woodman look into seeing if any of the damaged equipment is still under warranty.

POLICE DEPARTMENT

Deputy Chief Hallman apologized for not having a written report in the packet.

Alderman Otis was advised the members would receive the information on the revenues we receive from the transfer of funds from the County.

ENGINEER'S REPORT

A written report was included in the packet.

Mr. Gavle stated a design engineer has taken a look at the damages to the pumping station. They will provide assistance as needed to us to get two things taken care of. One issue is if there was defective equipment. Mr. Gavle has not talked to our attorney or Administrator Hartman relative to whether or not the warranty items are still in place for the construction contract. The other issue is they are trying to assist us with the investigation as to what caused this to occur.

The question was raised if perhaps there was duplication here with what Baxter & Woodman was doing and what the insurance company was doing. Mr. Gavle stated he didn't know if they would be duplicating and had not thought of it in that manner. They had not incurred much cost at this point and if the City wanted them to discontinue at this point, they would be fine.

Administrator Hartman advised Mr. Gavle the insurance company was running the show as it was all in their hands. He has directed Jim and Ed to make sure the insurance company people come first as they are handling this as opposed to Baxter & Woodman.

Mr. Gavle stated their consideration would be slightly different unless they were going to subrogate it to the construction contractor as opposed to handling it under a warranty item from the construction company. If we were

looking for the insurance company to reimburse us under the insurance policy, then they would be running parallel.

July 10, 2006

Administrator Hartman stated our efforts right now were with the insurance adjustor and did not want to jeopardize anything that might affect the coverage especially when we are talking \$25,000 and still counting.

The comment was made our insurance company is going to use who they want to evaluate what went wrong. If we decide to do that on our own, they are not going to reimburse us. If the insurance company decides they are not going to pay for anything as it is not covered, then Baxter & Woodman becomes a possible resource for us.

Mr. Gavle stated he would talk to Administrator Hartman before they would do any further work regarding this situation.

Our attorney advised to make sure if the insurance company wants to take the damaged equipment somewhere, we establish a good chain of title as to where it goes as he has been involved with litigation where parts got misplaced and the community lost money as they were unable to cover the full extent of what should have been paid.

Alderman Shelton advised the silt cover is still on the manhole on the south side of East Grant where there was a drainage problem for the last big storm that came thru the area. Supt. Craney will take a look into this.

CITY TREASURER

Treasurer Hall stated due to the shortened week, the Treasurer's Reports will be given to them in the next packet they receive. The interest rates continue to rise on the deposits.

CITY ADMINISTRATOR

A written report was submitted. Orally, he had two items he wanted to address. One was the July 13 Committee of the Whole meeting to discuss the budget. He passed out information for their review to be able to discuss where we were presently at with budget line items.. The second item was due to a lack of agenda, there will be no Planning & Zoning Commission meeting on July 18th.

Alderman Trainor wanted to know if the information they received in their packet from the Marengo Fire Protection District regarding properties they are looking into acquiring was being shared with the Planning & Zoning Commission. She was advised by Alderman Otis she has taken care of this.

Alderman Signore stated at a joint Committee of the Whole meeting there was discussion on development. At this time,

he had inquired about sunset provisions on platted subdivisions that had done nothing. There were some on the list he still has not heard anything on. He wanted to know if it was a 6 month time line that would make a plat abandoned if no work was done on it.

Administrator Hartman felt, per our Subdivision Ordinance, it was a one year time frame.

Alderman Signore wanted to know what we had done on this as he felt we had some that would probably qualify. He was advised what the status was. The comment was made it was a done thing and we should not play games.

July 10, 2006

In light of the information received from the ad hoc committee tonight, if someone has abandoned the project and our code states the sun has set on it and we have the opportunity to reevaluate it, we have to take advantage of it.

Alderman Signore stated he did not know how the rest of the members felt but this was brought up at least three months ago and haven't heard anything about it. Therefore, he wanted to know how the rest of the members felt on this issue as to whether they should just let this go or should they have the opportunity to reevaluate it if the developer wants to come back before them.

Mayor Lockhart felt if it was part of our code, we would have to follow it. After a brief discussion, Administrator Hartman stated he would outline some of the issues regarding the items discussed here tonight by our attorney regarding the plat for the next council meeting. Alderman Signore stated this would be fine as he would just like them to do something. If it would mean they would do nothing, then he would like them to give formal direction that they weren't going to do anything. This has been brought up and as they move forward, it appears that they have to learn some lessons from previous documents and agreements that have been put together. This one was pretty much finalized when he came on board. There was no voting, it was a done deal and it looked like kind of a raw deal. So, if they have the opportunity to make it better, he felt they should as they have other people coming before them with similar number of homes who are going to give them a product we really want so as they look to our growth, lets give it to somebody who is going to do the right thing and give us something we can be proud of.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

At 9:35 p.m., Alderman Trainor made a motion to go into Executive Session to discuss litigation. The motion was seconded by Alderman Spear. The motion passed with an aye voice vote from Alderman: Secor, Genot, Trainor, Shelton, Otis, Jennings, Signore and Spear.

The members returned from Executive Session and back into Open Session at 9:53 p.m.

MAYOR'S STATEMENTS AND REPORTS

Mayor Lockhart had a couple of items he wanted to mention. One was the car that has sat in front of the old Benton garage has been moved. The owner was taken to court. He was fined and the judge ordered to have the car removed within ten days, so, it is gone.

He reported while driving down East Washington on Saturday afternoon, someone threw a firecracker out. He reported this to the Police Department who in turn arrested two people.

Alderman Signore stated now that the CDC is done with the sign ordinance, Commissioner Shull would next like them to work on the Junk Nuisance Ordinance to help him with his enforcement.

Alderman Otis asked Administrator Hartman if we had received the (aerial) maps yet. He thought they were supposed to be done on Friday. He will make sure we get them.

ADJOURNMENT

Alderman Genot made and Alderman Jennings seconded a motion to adjourn the July 10, 2006, Marengo City Council meeting at 10:03 p.m. Motion passed with a unanimous aye voice vote.